

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SUBMITTED

Submitted on: 2/24/07

Taken By: KM

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED BY THIS OFFICE IN REGARDS TO THE FOLLOWING:

BP# _____

PA# 06-7221 SEA LION CAVES

SP# _____

SI# _____

OTHER: NA-722

KM

MILLER Keir C

From: Louis DeMoll [LDeMoll@msn.com]
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2007 8:19 PM
To: MILLER Keir C
Subject: Opposition to Sea Lion Caves Development

I own and operate a nature friendly lodging seven miles north of the Sea Lion Caves. I am opposed to the Measure 37 claim to develop 119 acres around the Sea Lion Caves. I have lived and operated a business in this area for over ten years. I tell you there is not an infrastructure to support any large developments in the area. There is a lack of water, lack of medical services (I have to travel to Eugene for medical services), no police (I have never seen a Lane County Sheriff and only rarely a state trooper), we constantly lose electrical services, the road is closed by large truck wrecks frequently, the road is closed due to land slides (it was closed for 9 months a few years ago), no sewer systems and more. This is one of the most beautiful and pristine areas in the world and it is here in Lane County. The Sea Lion Caves has made money off a tourist attraction which should of been a national or state park. People from all over the world travel here with their tourist dollars to see the unique wilderness. The area is probably one of Lane County's biggest attractions. Tourism is replacing logging and fishing as the economy of the Oregon Coast. This is the Measure 37 claim Lane County should take a stand against. I believe the state and the federal congressional representatives would support considering this area to be set aside for National park or state park. The highway in the area is designated as a National Scenic Byway.

The land is one of the last pristine wilderness areas in Lane County, the geological hazards are many and too great for Lane county to protect and service development. Deny the Measure 37 request and promote tourism and pristine Lane County.
Respectfully Submitted, Christine DeMoll & William James-Ocean Haven-94770 HWY 101-Yachats,
OR 97498-541-547-3583

05/10/2007



SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SUBMITTED

Submitted on: 5/7/07

Taken By: KM

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED BY THIS OFFICE IN REGARDS TO THE FOLLOWING:

BP# _____

PA# OC- 7221 Sea Lion caves

SP# _____

SI# _____

OTHER: MAY 22 KM

MILLER Keir C

From: Pierre & Linda Dunn [dunnvan@shaw.ca]
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2007 11:39 AM
To: MILLER Keir C
Cc: Claire & Philip Runkel
Subject: Sea Lion Caves Hearing letter



Sea Lion Caves
Hearing.doc (42...

Dear Mr. Miller,

My family is opposed to the removal of zoning restrictions on the Sea Lion Caves property as requested in their current Measure 37 claim, on which a hearing is scheduled on May 8 in Harris Hall. I have attached a letter stating our position, to be considered at that hearing. Please let me know if there is any further information you need in order for this material to be considered and entered into the hearing records.

Yours,
Pierre G Dunn

--

PIERRE & LINDA DUNN dunnvan@shaw.ca
4387 15th Ave. W. Phone: +1 (604) 730-1811
Vancouver, BC V6R 3A9 Fax: +1 (815) 642-4190
CANADA Mobile: +1 (604) 999-0231

seen that allowing machinery and construction into these areas is not appropriate. Baring the hillsides to build houses, install water and sewer systems, construct roadways, and otherwise reduce the land's protection from natural forces would be to invite major problems.

Health Safety?

My family realizes that our misgivings about this waiver request will have no bearing on whether or not the Sea Lion Caves group is entitled or not to approval of their claim under the provisions of Measure 37. We do strongly believe, however, that if the claim is approved in part or in full, it is decidedly in the interest of the people of this state and county that the Sea Lion Caves group be provided compensation rather than a waiver of the zoning restrictions.

We urge the hearing commission to take whatever steps are legally appropriate to preserve the Oregon coast north of Florence by disapproving commercial or residential development of the area.

Sincerely,

Pierre G. Dunn